| Case Number: | BOA-23-10300075 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Applicant: | Octaviano Cardenas |
| Owner: | Octaviano Cardenas |
| Council District: | 4 |
| Location: | 5730 Broken Lance Street |
| Legal Description: | Lot 8, Block 4, NCB 15974 |
| Zoning: | "R-6 MLOD-2 MLR-1 AHOD" Residential Single- <br> Family Lackland Military Lighting Overlay Military <br> Lighting Region 1 Airport Hazard Overlay District |
| Case Manager: | Vincent Trevino, Senior Planner |

## Request

A request for a 700 square feet variance from the minimum 6,000 square feet lot size requirement, as described in Section 35-310.01, to allow a lot to be 5,300 square feet.

## Executive Summary

The subject property is located on Broken Lance, Southeast of Old Pearsall Road. The applicant is constructing a single-family home. The applicant is requesting a 700 square foot variance from the minimum 6,000 square foot lot size requirement for a 5,300 square feet lot. The surrounding properties are mostly 5,300 square feet or less.

## Code Enforcement History

There are no code violations for this property.

## Permit History

There are no permits on file for the property.

## Zoning History

The property was annexed into the City of San Antonio by Ordinance 41419, dated December 25, 1972, and zoned Temporary "R-1" Single-Family Residence District. Under the 2001 Unified Development Code, established by Ordinance 93881, dated May 03, 2001, the property zoned Temporary "R-1" Single-Family Residence District converted to the current "R-6" Residential Single-Family District.

## Subject Property Zoning/Land Use

| Existing Zoning | Existing Use |
| :--- | :---: |
| "R-6 MLOD-2 MLR-1 AHOD" Residential Single- <br> Family Lackland Military Lighting Overlay Military <br> Lighting Region 1 Airport Hazard Overlay District | Vacant Lot |

## Surrounding Zoning/Land Use

| Orientation | Existing Zoning District(s) | Existing Use |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| North | "R-6 MLOD-2 MLR-1 AHOD" Residential <br> Single-Family Lackland Military Lighting | Single-Family Residence |


|  | Overlay Military Lighting Region 1 Airport <br> Hazard Overlay District |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| South | "R-6 MLOD-2 MLR-1 AHOD" Residential <br> Single-Family Lackland Military Lighting <br> Overlay Military Lighting Region 1 Airport <br> Hazard Overlay District | Single-Family Residence |
| East | "R-6 MLOD-2 MLR-1 AHOD" Residential <br> Single-Family Lackland Military Lighting <br> Overlay Military Lighting Region 1 Airport <br> Hazard Overlay District | Single-Family Residence |
| West | "R-6 MLOD-2 MLR-1 AHOD" Residential <br> Single-Family Lackland Military Lighting <br> Overlay Military Lighting Region 1 Airport <br> Hazard Overlay District | Single-Family Residence |

## Comprehensive Plan Consistency/Neighborhood Association

The subject property is in the United Southwest Communities Plan and is designated "Low Density Residential" in the future land use component of the plan. There is no registered neighborhood association.

## Street Classification

Broken Lance Street is classified as a local road.

## Criteria for Review - Minimum Lot Size Variance

According to Section 35-482(e) of the UDC, in order for a variance to be granted, the applicant must demonstrate all of the following:

1. The variance is not contrary to the public interest.

The public interest is defined as the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant is requesting a 700 square feet variance from the $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 0}$ square feet minimum lot size requirement. The variance request does not appear to be contrary to the public interest as granting the variance would be in line with other lot sizes in the area.
2. Due to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship.

A literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in having to rezone which would result in an unnecessary hardship.
3. By granting the variance, the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and substantial justice will be done.

The spirit of the ordinance is defined as the intent of the code, rather than the exact letter of the law. The request appears to be in the spirit of the ordinance as the requirement is there to protect the neighborhood, and there are other single-family homes on similar lot sizes in the area.
4. The variance will not authorize the operation of a use other than those uses specifically authorized in the zoning district in which the variance is located.

No uses other than those allowed within the district will be allowed with this variance.
5. Such variance will not substantially injure the appropriate use of adjacent conforming property or alter the essential character of the district in which the property is located.

Staff finds that the variance would not substantially injure the appropriate use of adjacent properties as many other lots do not meet the minimum lot size requirements.
6. The plight of the owner of the property for which the variance is sought is due to unique circumstances existing on the property, and the unique circumstances were not created by the owner of the property and are not merely financial, and are not due to or the result of general conditions in the district in which the property is located.

Staff finds the plight of the owner of the property for which the variance is sought is due to unique circumstances existing on the property.

## Alternative to Applicant's Request

The alternative to the applicant's request is to conform to the minimum lot size requirements of the UDC Sec 35-310.01.

## Staff Recommendation - Minimum Lot Size Variance

Staff recommends Approval in BOA-23-10300075 based on the following findings of fact:

1. Other single-family homes in the area are built on similarly sized lots; and
2. It will not alter the essential character of the district.
